


OHIO UNIVERSITY  
ATHENS, OHIO 45701

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

January 17, 1977

TO: Those listed below\*

FROM: Robert E. Mahn, Secretary, Board of Trustees 

SUBJECT: Minutes of October 16 and November 4, 1976,  
meetings of the Board

These minutes were approved without change on January 15, 1977. You may wish to substitute the attached signature sheets for the unsigned sheets in the copies of the minutes sent to you earlier.

*Dr. Ping	Mr. Burns
Dr. Bucklew	Archivist (2)
Mr. Peebles	Resident Auditor
Dr. Harter	
Mr. Kennard	

REM:cs


Attachment

OHIO UNIVERSITY  
Inter-Office Communication

DATE November 15, 1976

TO Those listed below\*

FROM Robert E. Mahn, Secretary, Board of Trustees



SUBJECT Minutes of November 4, 1976, special meeting of Board

Enclosed for your file is a copy of the November 4 minutes. This draft will be presented for approval at the next regular meeting of the Board.

REM:cs

\* Chairman and Members of the Board

Mr. Aspengren

Dr. Ping

✓ Mr. Mahn (2)

Dr. Bucklew

Mr. Peebles

Dr. Harter (2--1 for Student Life Program offices)

Mr. Hecht

Mr. Kennard

Archivist (2)

Resident Auditor

Mr. Burns

Dr. Bryant

MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL  
MEETING OF THE BOARD  
OF TRUSTEES OF  
OHIO UNIVERSITY

Thursday, November 4, 1976, 2:00 p.m.  
Room 319, Vernon R. Alden Library  
Athens, Ohio

I. ROLL CALL

Members present were Fred H. Johnson, Chairman, G. Kenner Bush, Charles E. Holzer, Jr., J. Grant Keys, Mrs. J. Wallace Phillips, Donald A. Spencer and Milton J. Taylor. This constituted a quorum. Mrs. Dorothy Johns, Vice Chairman, and William Lavelle were unable to attend.

President Charles J. Ping and Secretary Robert E. Mahn were present.

II. FEE SURCHARGE

Chairman Johnson stated the purpose of the meeting to be consideration of a resolution on fee surcharge, which he asked Mr. Taylor, Chairman of the Budget, Finance and Physical Plant Committee to present.

Mr. Taylor stated that his committee had met with President Ping and Provost Bucklew and after full discussion agreed to present for Board consideration a resolution, which he read. He moved its approval which Mrs. Phillips seconded.

RESOLUTION 1976--314

WHEREAS, The Governor, by Executive Order dated October 8, 1976, has directed an additional one per cent reduction in state subsidy appropriations,

WHEREAS, this will result in an additional estimated income reduction to Ohio University of \$240,000 during the 1976-77 academic year,

WHEREAS, adjustments have been made reducing expenditures to the extent possible,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Ohio University assess a \$10 surcharge for the purpose of covering the loss in income of the additional one per cent subsidy reduction, that said surcharge be effective the Winter and Spring Quarters 1976-77, and the attached Schedules of Fees reflecting the surcharge be adopted.

PROPOSED FEE SURCHARGE

EFFECTIVE WINTER AND SPRING QUARTERS, 1976-77

ATHENS CAMPUS

<u>Full Time Students</u>	<u>Current</u>	<u>Surcharge</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
<u>Undergraduate (11-18 hours inclusive)</u>			
Instructional	\$ 235	\$ 10	\$ 245
General	55	-0-	55
Non-Resident Surcharge	400	-0-	400
<u>Graduate (9-16 hours inclusive)</u>			
Instructional	285	10	295
General	55	-0-	55
Non-Resident Surcharge	400	-0-	400
<u>Part Time Hours</u>			
<u>Undergraduate</u>			
Ohio Resident	28	1	29
Non-Resident	68	1	69
<u>Graduate</u>			
Ohio Resident	41	1	42
Non-Resident	91	1	92
<u>Excess Hours</u>			
<u>Undergraduate</u>			
Ohio Resident	15	-0-	15
Non-Resident	37	-0-	37
<u>Graduate</u>			
Ohio Resident	28	-0-	28
Non-Resident	53	-0-	53

PROPOSED FEE SURCHARGE

EFFECTIVE WINTER AND SPRING QUARTERS, 1976-77

COLLEGE OF OSTEOPATHIC MEDICINE

	<u>Current</u>	<u>Surcharge</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
Application Fee For Admissions	\$ 25	-0-	\$ 25
Acceptance Deposit If the student matriculates, the fee is applied to the first quarter's bill; if the student fails to matriculate, the fee is forfeited.	250	-0-	250
Comprehensive Instructional Fee Per Quarter	400	10	410
General Fee Per Quarter	55	-0-	55
Non-Resident Surcharge Per Quarter	400	-0-	400

PROPOSED FEE SURCHARGE

EFFECTIVE WINTER AND SPRING QUARTERS, 1976-77

REGIONAL CAMPUSES AND IRONTON

<u>Full Time Students</u>	<u>Current</u>	<u>Surcharge</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
<u>Undergraduate</u> (11-18 hours inclusive)			
Regional Campuses:			
Instructional	\$ 225	\$ 10	\$ 235
General	30	-0-	30
Non-Resident Surcharge	400	-0-	400
Ironton:			
Instructional	225	10	235
General	-0-	-0-	-0-
Non-Resident Surcharge	30	-0-	30
<u>Part Time Hours</u>			
<u>Undergraduate</u>			
Regional Campuses:			
Ohio Resident	24	1	25
Non-Resident	66	1	67
Ironton:			
Ohio Resident	22	1	23
Non-Resident	24	1	25
<u>Excess Hours</u>			
<u>Undergraduate</u>			
Regional Campuses:			
Ohio Resident	13	-0-	13
Non-Resident	35	-0-	35
Ironton:			
Ohio Resident	13	-0-	13
Non-Resident	13	-0-	13

Chairman Johnson invited discussion. When there were no comments from members, Mr. Johnson invited President Ping to comment.

President Ping discussed the "Summary Adjustments to Budget" outlined in the tables that follow. He pointed out the major factors in income shortfall from the May projections--the 2 per cent reduction in state subsidy of early summer, the 1 per cent reduction in state subsidy of October, and the shortfall in earnings related to fewer students and their mix. He stated that the \$10 surcharge and the planned reduction in spending of \$489,962 constituted the budget review group's recommended solution to the budget variance problem. The revised budget resulting from this, he said, would be presented for approval at the next stated meeting of the Board on January 15.



OHIO UNIVERSITY  
SUMMARY ADJUSTMENTS TO BUDGET  
FISCAL YEAR 1976-77

	<u>Athens Campus</u>	<u>College of Osteopathic Medicine</u>	<u>Regional Campuses</u>
<u>Adjustments To Estimate Of Income</u> (See Table A For Athens Campus)			
State Subsidy (2%) (See Table B)	\$(412,411)	\$(9,900)	\$(57,877)
State Subsidy (1%) (See Table B)	(206,206)	(4,950)	(28,938)
Student Fee Reduction (See Tables C & D)	(410,627)		
Other Income (Extra)	35,000		
Unallocated Fund Balance (6/30/76)	<u>43,613</u>		
Sub-Total	\$(950,631)		
 <u>Adjustments To Expenditures And Allocations</u>			
Budget Adjustments (See Table E)	\$(364,331)		
Reduction Of Institutional Reserve	200,000		
Summer School	65,000		
Presidential Contracts (Savings Until October)	100,000		
Reserve Account For Renegotiations Of Government Contracts	250,000		
Reduction In Unemployment Compensation Expenses	<u>25,000</u>		
Sub-Total	<u>275,669</u>		
Total	<u>\$(674,962)</u>		
 <u>Proposed Solutions</u>			
Proposed \$10 Fee Surcharge	\$ 185,000	\$ 480	\$ 58,000
Planned Reduction In Spending	<u>489,962</u>		
Total	<u>\$ 674,962</u>		

NOTE:  
Budgets for College of Osteopathic Medicine and Regional Campuses are currently being reviewed. At this time it is felt that expenditures can be contained to generated income.

OHIO UNIVERSITY  
 ANALYSIS OF INCOME VARIANCE EXCLUDING  
 INCOME DESIGNATED FOR SPECIFIC PROGRAMS,  
 OSTEOPATHIC COLLEGE AND ALLOCATED FUND BALANCE  
 FISCAL 1976-77  
 ATHENS CAMPUS

TABLE A

State Appropriations: \$(618,617)

Governor's Reduction

	2%	1%	TOTAL
Subsidy	\$(420,011)	\$(210,006)	\$(630,017)
Supplement	( 10,000)	( 5,000)	( 15,000)
Less Resident Credit Centers	17,600	8,800	26,400
TOTAL	<u>\$(412,411)</u>	<u>\$(206,206)</u>	<u>\$(618,617)</u>

Student Fees: \$(410,627)

Original Estimate	\$14,054,853
Revised Estimate	<u>13,644,226</u>
DIFFERENCE	<u>\$ ( 410,627)</u>

Other Income: \$35,000

An analysis of the actual 1975-76 actual income to the budgeted 1976-77 income suggested changes to the budget as follows:

Health Center	\$ 13,000
Recovery of Indirect Costs	14,000
Rentals	6,000
Parking Fines	8,000
Interest on Investment	(23,000)
Other	17,000
TOTAL	<u>\$ 35,000</u>

Unallocated Fund Balance: \$43,613

Original Budget provided for no Fund Balance at June 30, 1976	<u>\$ 43,613</u>
---	------------------

Total Income Variance Applicable To General University Expense Budget. (Athens Campus)	<u>\$(950,631)</u>
--	--------------------

November 2, 1976

OHIO UNIVERSITY  
 REVISED STATE APPROPRIATION (SUBSIDY)  
 FISCAL 1976-77

TABLE B

	<u>Original Budget</u>	<u>Governor's Reduction</u>		<u>Total</u>	<u>Revised</u>
		<u>2%</u>	<u>1%</u>		
<b>Athens Campus</b>					
Subsidy	\$21,000,560	\$(420,011)	\$(210,006)	\$(630,017)	\$20,370,543
Supplement	500,000	(10,000)	(5,000)	(15,000)	485,000
Less Resident Credit Center	<u>(880,000)</u>	<u>17,600</u>	<u>8,800</u>	<u>26,400</u>	<u>(853,600)</u>
Total Appropriation	20,620,560	(412,411)	(206,206)	(618,617)	20,001,943
Osteopathic College	<u>495,000</u>	<u>(9,900)</u>	<u>(4,950)</u>	<u>(14,850)</u>	<u>480,150</u>
Total Athens Campus	21,115,560	(422,311)	(211,156)	(633,467)	20,482,093
<b>Regional Campuses</b>					
Subsidy	2,013,820	(40,277)	(20,138)	(60,415)	1,953,405
Resident Credit Center	<u>880,000</u>	<u>(17,600)</u>	<u>(8,800)</u>	<u>(26,400)</u>	<u>853,600</u>
Total Appropriation	2,893,820	(57,877)	(28,938)	(86,815)	2,807,005
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$24,009,380</u>	<u>\$(480,188)</u>	<u>\$(240,094)</u>	<u>\$(720,282)</u>	<u>\$23,289,098</u>

November 2, 1976

623

OHIO UNIVERSITY  
 PROJECTED FEE INCOME  
 FISCAL 1976-77  
 ATHENS CAMPUS

TABLE C

	<u>Instructional and General</u>	<u>Non-Resident Surcharge</u>	<u>Special Courses</u>	<u>Total</u>
Fall Quarter				
Assesments Thru 10/21/76	\$3,629,931	\$1,020,149	\$13,020	\$ 4,663,100
Less:				
Continuing Education				(108,475)
Incremental Programs				<u>(31,695)</u>
Net Projected Fall Quarter Fees				4,522,930
Winter Quarter (@ 94.5% of Fall)				4,274,169
Spring Quarter (@ 94.5% of Winter)				4,039,090
Summer Quarter (Actual)				<u>808,037</u>
Total Projected Fees				<u>13,644,226</u>
Budget				<u>14,054,853</u>
Variance				<u>\$ (410,627)</u>

November 2, 1976

OHIO UNIVERSITY  
 SUMMER FEE INCOME  
 FISCAL 1976-77  
 ATHENS CAMPUS

TABLE D

	<u>Instruction and General</u>	<u>Non-Resident Surcharge</u>	<u>Special Courses</u>	<u>Total</u>
Assessments	\$911,342	\$303,567	\$1,064	\$1,215,973
Less Incremental and Special Programs				
Continuing Education	600	360	-	960
Direct Cost Grants	39,498	-	-	39,498
Special Summer	299,049	40,160	-	339,209
High School Scholars	6,507	-	-	6,507
Graduate Programs	7,382	8,800	-	16,182
Study Abroad	2,080	-	-	2,080
Estimated Future Adjustments	<u>3,500</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>3,500</u>
Net Summer Fees	<u>\$552,726</u>	<u>\$254,247</u>	<u>\$1,064</u>	<u>808,037</u>
Budget				<u>936,738</u>
Variance				<u>\$ (128,701)</u>

November 2, 1976

OHIO UNIVERSITY  
BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS

TABLE E

Personnel expenses unknown when budget was adopted (salary increases above amount projected, positions budgeted to forego layoffs, Developmental Education Counselor etc.).	\$110,285
Fee credit increases caused primarily by increased fees.	114,000
Instructional Staff (temporary) added to handle increased Freshman Class.	30,000
Instructional Program adjustments (Criminal Justice, Journalism Accreditation, Social Work Matching Funds, Summer School Office).	38,490
Administrative and other expense adjustments (Affirmative Action Recruitment, International Student Children Program, Major Repairs, etc.).	<u>71,556</u>
TOTAL	<u>\$364,331</u>

November 2, 1976

Following President Ping's comments, Chairman Johnson stated that the motion was before the Board.

Before calling for a vote, Chairman Johnson announced that he was suspending the regular rule against recognizing members of the audience who had not arranged with the Secretary to appear before the Board. He asked Mr. Monroe Slavin, President of Student Senate, who had placed on the tables the statement which appears as Attachment 1, page 631, whether he wished to make a statement to the Board.

Mr. Slavin suggested that the Board, because of precedents of non-consultation that would be set, delay a vote on the surcharge or vote it down. Students, he said, were citizens and had a right to be heard on issues and to have the opportunity to help solve problems. If they had had an opportunity to look at programs, he said, they might have found solutions other than the one proposed.

Mr. Tony Churchville, Student Senate Academic Commission Chairman, was recognized. In response to his observation that \$489,000 was being cut from the academic area and that other areas would not be affected, President Ping emphasized that discussions had just begun and that every budget area would be scrutinized for possible savings. In response to a question by Mr. Keys on the urgency of action, President Ping stated that the reduction was fact a week ago, that we were moving toward preregistration in mid-November and that students and parents needed to be notified of the surcharge, if approved. He went on to say that the rumor of a cut order had started 8 weeks ago, with rumors of amount having ranged up to 2 per cent. Twice a 1 per cent cut was reported as fact by the media. The Governor's order, signed on October 8, was received on October 25, and then only when Mr. Hecht got it on a visit to the Governor's office. The critical point in our review, President Ping stated, was our first quarter income and expense analysis. The hope was that it would result in another story, but a report on second quarter billings confirmed the need for a surcharge, since income showed reductions.

In response to another question by Mr. Keys, President Ping stated that we had made every effort to find out about the cut. Mr. Hecht checked regularly. In fairness to all, he said, the likely cut had been a subject of discussion for two months. The hope continued to be that a solution other than a surcharge might be found.

Mr. Jeff Mason, Vice President, Student Senate, upon being recognized, asked about the propriety of asking the Board to vote when it didn't know where cuts were to be applied. President Ping's response was that the Board was being asked only to approve the surcharge. Each budget administrator would be dealt with individually by the Provost's office in respect to underspending.

Mr. Slavin again raised the question of why the President had not consulted the Student Senate, suggesting that he could have done so even after October 25. Mr. Taylor suggested that, recognizing that inflation would probably force additional increases, the Student Senate begin studying alternatives for the future and making recommendations.

Budget management, President Ping suggested, because of detail and technicalities, would have to be largely an administrative process. This year, in the context of three quarter million underfunding through state action, further cuts might have been invited if we had acted to meet reductions before they had actually been mandated. He expressed the hope that a different pattern of state support was in the making. He reiterated his view that it would continue to be best to react to a decision rather than invite it.

In response to a question by Mr. Keys, President Ping stated that every budget would be looked at. He invited Provost Bucklew to confirm this. The Provost asserted that nothing would be excused from review. President Ping emphasized that there would be a detailed income and expense review in each instance.

Mr. Spencer observed that students and parents, recognizing the squeeze in time we faced, would be willing to pay the surcharge. He asked whether, if the surcharge was approved, we might give assurances that the level of the surcharge for the Spring quarter would be reviewed, to which Chairman Johnson responded affirmatively. Mr. Spencer stated that he wished to amend the resolution to reflect this view. Chairman Johnson suggested a separate resolution. President Ping asked whether provision for an up or down movement in surcharge was intended. Mr. Spencer replied that his hope was for a reduction.



Mr. Keys asked whether the state's cash flow problem was affecting our income, considering short term investment of funds, for example. Provost Bucklew and Treasurer Kennard indicated that it had had no appreciable effect to date, but that they were watching it.

Mr. Slavin stated that he wished to challenge President Ping's statement that budget making was complex. People, he said, could be trained in this. Students would have no faith that the budget would be looked at if the surcharge resolution was approved.

President Ping expressed to Mr. Slavin the hope that he could dispel what he felt was a misunderstanding. He explained that he had tried to distinguish between the work involved in variance analysis and budget management. A great investment of time is required in a review of minute detail. Development of goals, for example, is another aspect of budget management. This is seen as the more important and valuable area for student involvement.

Chairman Johnson thanked the students for their presentations and their gentlemanly conduct. Mr. Spencer added that the Board was saying that when all the facts are known, it will review them.

Mr. Bush, referring to the bottom line of the variance statement, suggested that it was another indictment of Ohio's lack of support of higher education. Students, he said, were bearing the brunt of this. All, he said, had the job of lobbying before the legislature in the best interests of higher education.

Chairman Johnson called for a roll call vote on the surcharge resolution. The vote was unanimously in favor of the resolution as shown:

Bush	yes
Taylor	yes
Phillips	yes
Holzer	yes
Keys	yes
Spencer	yes
Johnson	yes

The Chairman declared the motion adopted.

Mr. Spencer offered his resolution, and moved its approval. Mr. Keys seconded the motion. Approval was unanimous.

Resolution 1976--315

RESOLVED that the dollar amount of the surcharge will be reviewed at the January 15, 1977, meeting of the Board for possible change in the charge for the Spring quarter, 1976-77.

III. CONFIRMATION OF NEXT MEETING DATE

Chairman Johnson reminded the Board that the next stated meeting of the Board was scheduled for January 15, 1977.

IV. ROLL CALL FOR COMMENTS

Members and President Ping had no further comments.


V. ADJOURNMENT

Chairman Johnson, determining that there was no further business to come before the Board, declared the meeting adjourned at 3:00 p.m.

VI. CERTIFICATION OF SECRETARY

Notice of this meeting and its conduct was in accordance with Resolution 1975--240 of the Board, which resolution was adopted on November 15, 1975, in accordance with Section 121.22 (F) of the Ohio Revised Code and of the State Administrative Procedures Act.

  
Fred H. Johnson  
Chairman

  
Robert E. Mahn  
Secretary



OHIO UNIVERSITY  
STUDENT SENATE  
Baker Center  
Athens, Ohio 45701

Monroe Slaven, President  
Jeff Mason, Vice-President  
Peter Adler, Communications Director

November 4, 1976

Dear Members of the Ohio University Board of Trustees,

As the President of the Students' Senate, I am compelled to write this missive of protest to you about the proposed \$10.00 tuition surcharge. But as a student of Ohio University, and a citizen of the United States and a great believer of the principles of participatory government thereof, it is my duty to write this letter of dissent---I do it feeling no burden, but gladly, out of respect of the rights of all the students of Ohio University and as my duty as a concerned citizen.

My protest on behalf of all the students of Ohio University as concerns the proposed \$10.00 surcharge is manifold. First, I would like to discuss the reasons for the proposed surcharge. Next, I would like to address the manner in which the decision to ask the Trustees for the surcharge was made, and thirdly I will expound upon the wisdom (or lack thereof) of passing favorably upon the surcharge request.

In a meeting with President Ping, Provost Bucklew and Dean Harter on Tuesday November 2nd, several leaders of the student body were informed that a request would be carried to the Trustees in a meeting two days hence seeking a \$10.00 tuition surcharge for the next two quarters, in partial response to a budget shortfall for the University of some \$674,962.

OHIO UNIVERSITY  
STUDENT SENATE  
Baker Center  
Athens, Ohio 45701

Monroe Slavin, President  
Jeff Mason, Vice-President  
Peter Adler, Communications Director

2 November 4, 1976

As President Ping sought to explain the shortfall, it was caused by a 2% budget cut mandated by Governor Rhodes this past summer, a 1% budget cut handed down by the Governor during the past two weeks and a shortfall of tuition income to the University, as compared to the amount of tuition income estimated to be forthcoming during the 1976-77 school year by University budget planners during their deliberations last year.

This reasoning is only partially correct. Yes, we must deal with the Governor's recent 1% cut. And we have a tuition income shortfall compared to what was estimated. But we responded to the Governor's 2% cut of this past summer with a \$15.00 per quarter tuition increase which the Board of Trustees passed on late this summer. We are not now responding to that 2% cut--we are now being asked to respond to a 1% cut and a much larger gap between estimated and actual tuition revenue than previously thought.

The \$412,411 cut that the 2% Rhodes budget cut hit the University with has been taken care of. This amount should properly be added on to the \$410,627 misestimate of tuition income. It is not fair to label the Governor's 2% cut of this summer as part of the current budget squeeze--that part of the problem has been dealt with. The current squeeze is really only caused by the more recent 1% budget cut by Governor Rhodes

OHIO UNIVERSITY  
STUDENT SENATE  
Baker Center  
Athens, Ohio 45701

Monroe Slavin, President  
Jeff Mason, Vice-President  
Peter Adler, Communications Director

3 November 4, 1976

and a much greater tuition income gap than people seem to be willing to admit.

It is true, however, that one way or another, this \$674, 962 must be made up. As President Ping, Provost Bucklew and Dean Harter view the problem, some \$185,000 of this shortfall may be made up with a \$10.00 tuition surcharge and the other \$489,862 will be accounted for by program cuts. This we student leaders were told less than 48 hours before the Trustees were to decide upon the tuition surcharge.

Since the 1% budget cut was "long rumored" (quote of President Ping from Tuesday's meeting) and otherwise an open secret for a number of weeks, why weren't students involved in talks of how this shortfall was to be met. Obviously there was time, because these deliberations--exclusive of students--were held; decisions were reached. By only now informing students and presenting us with the facts and intended manner of handling the matter, the President effectively prevented any students from having input as to how the problem should be handled.

We students are responsible people. We realize that the problem must be faced, and we think we just may have been able to present novel, creative solutions to the problem. If not, then certainly no harm would

OHIO UNIVERSITY  
STUDENT SENATE  
Baker Center  
Athens, Ohio 45701

Monroe Slavin, President  
Jeff Mason, Vice-President  
Peter Adler, Communications Director

4 November 4, 1976

have been done and we could have explained to the students we represent that the tuition surcharge was justifiable and the best way to handle the problem.

As it stands, the only input we may have is some say in deciding which programs should or should not be involved in the cuts that will solve the problem of the other \$489,962. That is not enough.

What if we student leaders see that program cuts are being made which are unacceptable. I doubt if it is still possible for us to recommend that the tuition surcharge should be larger--that it is more worth it in terms of cost/benefit to raise tuition even higher than to cut certain absolutely vital programs. This is an especially cogent argument in this time of bare bones budget wherein even those programs which won't be subject to budget cuts are not operating at an adequate level.

To the more positive side of the matter, what if we students could have found a novel way to save the University some (for instance) \$200,000? A long shot I admit, but it may have saved every student \$10.00 per each of the remaining quarters of the academic year. And it is students who pay.

We don't like that. It does not seem fair to us that no one else must ante up. Students are asked to pay more dollars and program cuts assure that we will receive less services for this increased sum of money.

OHIO UNIVERSITY  
STUDENT SENATE  
Baker Center  
Athens, Ohio 45701

Monroe Slavin, President  
Jeff Mason, Vice-President  
Peter Adler, Communications Director

5 November 4, 1976

The tuition increase would be much more palatable if others were also seen as sacrificing. Why, if the two highest priorities that this University recognizes (that the administrators of this University recognize rather) are preventing layoffs and keeping programs intact, are we already being told that programs won't be kept intact---they will be cut.

Not only are students the victims everytime, but we are not even given the respect of being allowed to participate in the making of decisions that concern students first and foremost. Would you take this idly?

Members of the Board of Trustees, I ask you, on behalf of all the students of Ohio University to either vote against the request for a tuition surcharge or to delay voting on this matter until all sides of the issue may be heard. If we students are to feel that we are in any way considered when decisions are made regarding the running of this University, then this is the only choice that could justifiably be made.

We believe in justice.

Monroe Slavin


*Monroe Slavin*  
President, Student Senate

for the Student Body of Ohio University



Miscellaneous

Not part of  
Minutes



Route for mtg.

Johnson  
Bush

Holzer

Keys

Luella

Phillips

Spencer

Taylor

at noon Com. mtg.

Phillips  
Johnson  
Muhm  
Phillips  
Buckler

Taylor  
Bush  
Keys (late)

Table E to be used at mtg. - Johnson

Bringing bldgt. up to date in view of cuts,  
inadequate info. at bldgt. time, etc. - Bush

Pres. } 1. Cover 1/2 reduction thru surcharge  
          } 2.

13,800 now  
13,140 yr. ago } get fix on that

Bldgt. based on 300 more than we have.

Get  
notice on Oct 25 -  
Signed on Oct 8.

How arrive at \$1.0 - Bush Enough? Where get?  
nearest to Gov. cut figure - Pres.

Buckler: Vacant positions

General cuts, short of layoffs

Pres.: Hate to add to \$1.0.

Bush - cut in supplies bothers me.

Taylor - Other schools' increases?

Pres.: Assumptions made - a scrambled thing.

Reluctant to come for surcharge. Shortfall on 1st  
quarter resolved it for me.


January a revised bldgt. will be presented.

Auditor's Report: (Taylor)

Johnson: Act of individuals thru  
dismissal. Don't know whether  
we're out.

Keys: Ray of hope in '77. Buckler: If  
fully funded we'd have \$200,000 more.  
Next year will. Unknown factor is subsidy  
level related to cost of prog. Pres.: May  
get out of crisis situation.

Adj. 1140



OHIO UNIVERSITY  
BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS  
FISCAL 1976-77

Not distributed  
For Com. use only

Personnel expenses unknown when budget adopted  
(salary increases above amount projected, positions  
budgeted to forego layoffs, Development Education  
Counselor etc.). \$110,285

Developmental Education Counselor	\$ 4,277	
Programmer for Student Financial Aids	6,386	
Occupational Health Program	8,000	
Taylor Culbert	32,000	
Secretary for Provost	12,482	
Bookstore Coordinator	3,840	
Assistant Dean of Students	10,000	
Health Center Layoff	6,800	
Security for Athletic Events	4,800	
ADP Consultant (Workorder System)	3,000	
Unified Health Adjustments	6,200	
Base Salary Adjustments	<u>12,500</u>	

Fee waiver increases caused primarily by increased fees		114,000
Graduate	\$121,400	
Faculty & Staff	21,800	
Undergraduate Scholarship	(16,200)	
Fee Increase	<u>(13,000)</u>	

Instructional Staff (temporary) added to handle increased Freshman Class.		30,000
English Close Out	\$ 30,000	

Instruction Program adjustments (Criminal Justice, Journalism Accreditation, Social Work Matching Funds, Summer School Office).		38,490
Military Science	\$ 7,848	
Membership I.U.C.	3,500	
Summer Office	15,000	
Criminal Justice	2,000	
Journalism Accreditation	2,600	
Social Work Matching Funds	<u>7,542</u>	

Administrative and other expense adjustments (Affirmative Action Recruitment, Faculty Senate, International Student Children Program, Major Repairs etc.).		71,556
Baker Center	\$ 25,000	
Foreign Student Children Program	5,000	
Faculty Senate	6,438	
Equal Opportunity Office	4,000	
Major Repairs	17,512	
Rebudget Operations Area	<u>13,606</u>	

Total		<u><u>\$364,331</u></u>
-------	--	-------------------------

November 2, 1976

